

MSK 3/18/2003 7:57 PAGE 1/2 RightFAX
TO: Manucher Rahmjoo (Business Fax) COMPANY:

LAW OFFICES
MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP
A PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

TRIDENT CENTER
11377 WEST OLYMPIC BOULEVARD
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90064-1683

(310) 312-2000
FAX: (310) 312-3100

TELECOMMUNICATIONS COVER PAGE

Date: Tuesday, March 18, 2003 7:57:10 AM

From: Name: Joseph Swan
Voice Phone: 310-312-3188

To: Name: Manucher Rahmjoo (Business Fax)
Company:
Client No.: 35512
Matter No.: 00035

Fax Number: (703) 746-9237
Voice Phone: (703) 305-5658

**Number of pages including
this cover sheet:** 02

Fax Notes:

IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL THE SENDERS VOICE NUMBER ABOVE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FAX MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENTS NAMED ABOVE. THIS MESSAGE MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION, AND AS SUCH IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR AN AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR, AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

**DRAFT – FOR DISCUSSION
PURPOSES ONLY**

Examiner M. Rahmjoo
Group Art Unit 2676
Serial No. 09/615,026

Telephonic Interview Discussion Points

The telephonic interview will concern the construction of the term “statistical significance” as recited in the claims. Generally speaking, each of the pending claims recites, among other features, the feature of displaying a graph of estimated data points, where a display characteristic of each such estimated data point is a function of the measure of statistical significance of the estimated statistic included in such data point.

In rejecting the claims, the Office Action asserts that this limitation reads on Harvard Graphics’ bar graph in which bar height indicates sales volumes and different intensities are used to display actual vs. projected values. More specifically, the Office Action asserts that the height of each bar in Harvard Graphics (which Harvard Graphics describes as corresponding to sales volume for the year) is a function of the measure of statistical significance of projected sales.

Applicants do not intend the claims to read on the type of display discussed in Harvard Graphics. Rather, Applicants intend the claims to cover situations where each data point has an associated statistical significance, e.g., a variance. Then, for example, the height of the displayed data point might reflect the magnitude of the data point while the displayed intensity of the data point might reflect its statistical significance (e.g., with less significant data points being displayed less intensely). As a result, a user can instantly and conveniently see both the data values and their statistical significances.

As indicated above, it appears that the Examiner is reading the term “statistical significance” more broadly than was intended. In particular, the Examiner appears to be construing the term “statistical significance” to encompass measures of sales volume. Therefore, Applicants would like to discuss clarifying amendments that would address the Examiner’s concern while still maintaining the desired scope of protection. Any such amendments would be made in connection with the filing of a RCE.